UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,286	07/24/2003	William Thomas Rogers		2379
William Rogers	7590 03/19/200	EXAMINER		
3614 Hunters Circle			LACYK, JOHN P	
San Antonio, TX 78230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/627,286	ROGERS, WILLIAM THOMAS			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John P. Lacyk	3735			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•				
This application is abandoned in view of:		•			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a)  A reply was received on 23 January 2007 (with a Cert expiration of the period for reply (including a total exte</li> <li>(b)  A proposed reply was received on, but it does</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	ificate of Mailing or Transmission dat nsion of time of $\underline{0}$ month(s)) which expressions	xpired on <u>23 June 2006</u> .			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	and the is due				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	•	·			
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required Allowability (PTO-37).					
after the expiration of the period for reply.	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet					
	/John P Lacyk/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	: 3735			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) **Notice of Abandonment** Part of Paper No. 20080312-A Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: On 23 March 2006, the Office mailed a non-final Office action, which set a three month shortened statutory period to reply. There is no petition for any extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136.

A review of the record indicates that a "Complete Corrected 'Claims' packet" in response to the Office action of 23 March 2006 was sent via Certified Priority Mail on 24 April 2006. See the miscellaneous incoming letter of 24 April 2006. Another miscellaneous incoming letter dated 23 September 2006 suggests that a response was filed. However, according to the record, there is no evidence of any amendment or other formal response being received by the Office prior to 23 January 2007.

An Amendment is included in the application file indexed with the date 23 January 2007. This amendment does not bear a certificate of mailing or transmission in accordance with 37 CFR 1.8; a mailroom stamp documenting the date of receipt by the Office; or any other documentary evidence that the Amendment was timely filed. Therefore, the amendment of 23 January 2007 is considered untimely.

The application became abandoned on 24 June 2006, for failure to submit a timely response to the non-final Office action.

Applicant is advised to file a Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181 or, alternatively, a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive an unintentionally abandoned application.

Any such petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment must contain a statement of the facts involved, the point or points to be reviewed, and the action requested.

As applicant is a pro-se applicant, the Office understands that applicant may not keep a formal docket record system for his correspondence. Nevertheless, applicant must provide some sort of factual showing explaining the manner in which the response to the non-final Office action of 23 March 2006 was mailed to the USPTO. Applicant should include any available documentary evidence of the content of the response allegedly mailed 24 April 2006; records of deposit with or delivery by the U.S. Postal Service; and records of receipt by the USPTO.

Applicant is encouraged to consider filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive an unintentionally abandoned application instead of filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 or a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a).

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed. In nonprovisional utility application abandoned for failure to respond to a non-final Office action, the required reply may be met by filing either (A) an argument or amendment under 37 CFR 1.111 or (B) a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m), \$770.00 for a small entity or \$1,540.00 for other than a small entity;
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

A form for filing a petition to revive an unintentionally abandoned application is available at http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/index.html.

Applicant may wish to consider hiring a registered patent attorney or agent to assist in the prosecution of this application. Additionally, Applicant is encouraged to contact the Inventors Assistance Center (IAC) by telephone at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000, Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM (EST). The IAC provides patent information and services to the public and is staffed by former Supervisory Patent Examiners and experienced Primary Examiners who answer general guestions concerning patent examining policy and procedure.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

## By mail:

Mail Stop Petitions Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300 Attn: Office of Petitions

## By hand:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314.